

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/067,485 | PICCIONELLI, GREGORY A. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Oanh Duong | 2155 | |

All Participants:

(1) Oanh Duong.

(2) Ted Rittmaster (Registration No. 32,933).

Status of Application: _____

(3) Anna Vradenburgh (Registration No. 39,868).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representatives authorized examiner to incorporate limitation of claim 10 into independent claims 1 and 12, and cancel independent claim 18 in order to place this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)